

WASHINGTON, DC 20005

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/832,882	04/12/2001	Roland de la Mettrie	5725.0409-01	2719
22852 7	7590 10/27/2003		EXAM	IINER
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP			ELHILO, EISA B	
1300 I STREET, NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

1751
DATE MAILED: 10/27/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·/, w	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Offic Action Summary		09/832,882	METTRIE ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Eisa B Elhilo	1751				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
A SHOTHE! - Externafter - If the	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w	6(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of the	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely.				
- Failu - Any r earne	re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	cause the application to become	ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 A	nanet 2003					
2a)□	·	s action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa		atters, prosecution as to the merits is				
•	closed in accordance with the practice under long of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 26-49 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>44-49</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) 🗌	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26-43</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
,	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
	on Papers						
•	The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) 📙 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	, ,					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)	All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>09/319,167</u> .						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
а) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application has	been received.				
Attachmen	•	, , ===================================					
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6	5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/832,882

Art Unit: 1751

e,

DETAILED ACTION

This action is responsive to the applicant's election received by the office on August 22, 2003.

- Applicant's election with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I. Election of claims 26-43 is acknowledged. Claims 44-49 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention. Therefore, claims 26-43 are pending in this application.
- The traversal is on the ground(s) that the examiner has applied the incorrect standard for this restriction requirement and the restriction requirement should follow the U.S. standard pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 121, and also if the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the Office must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to distinct or independent inventions. This is not found persuasive because the instant application is a divisional and claims priority to an earlier national stage application (09/319,167) under 35 U.S.C 371, PCT. Therefore, PCT Rules are to be applied. Further, even under U.S.C. 121, the inventions of groups I, II, III and IV are patentably independent and distinct and they are classified and searched in different classes and subclasses and the search required for each group is not required for the other groups of inventions. Therefore, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.
- Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Application/Control Number: 09/832,882

Art Unit: 1751

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 26-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tomura et al. (US 6,027,719) in view of Lang et al. (US 5,192,332).

Tomura (US' 719) teaches an aqueous cosmetic composition comprising uricase enzyme as 2-electron oxidreductase, uric acid as a donor and 1.5 % of para-phenylenediamine as an oxidation base, 0.12 % of m-phenylendiamine as a coupler, hydrochloride as acid addition salt as claimed in claims 26-33 (see col. 6, Example 1), direct dyes as claimed in claim 34 (see col. 3, line 46), polyoxyethylene glycol ether of higher alcohol in the amount of 0.01 to 10% with is overlapped with the claimed ranges as claimed in claims 35-37 (see col. 8, lines 17-18) and surfactants as claimed in claim 40 (see col. 3, line 42). The composition has a pH of 7, which is within the claimed ranges as claimed in claims 38-39 (see col. 3, line 24). Tomura also teaches a method for dyeing hair, which is similar to the claimed method as claimed in claims 41-43 (see col. 6, lines 40-49).

The instant claims differ from the reference by reciting a composition comprising at least one basic amino acid.

Application/Control Number: 09/832,882

Art Unit: 1751

Lang et al. (US' 332) teaches in analogous art a cosmetic coloring composition comprising basic amino acids as claimed (see col. 4, line 25).

Therefore, in view of the teaching of the secondary reference, one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would be motivated to modify the composition of the primary reference by incorporating basic amino acids as taught by Lang to make such a composition with a reasonable expectation of success because Lang teaches clearly that the composition that comprises protein derivatives possess better properties and the coloration obtained is temporary and can be easily removed by washing (see col. 1, lines 56-58 and col. 2, line 1-2), and, thus, a person of the ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to use basic amino acids in the dyeing composition, and would expect such a composition to have similar properties to those claimed, absent, unexpected results.

Conclusion

The remaining references listed on forms 1449 have been reviewed by the examiner and are considered to be cumulative to or less material than the prior art references relied upon in the rejection above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eisa B Elhilo whose telephone number is (703) 305-0217. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F (7:30-5:00) with alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on (703) 308-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/832,882

Art Unit: 1751

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Eisa Elhilo Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1751

October 19, 2003.